

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/658,643	09/09/2003	Frank Bachrach	1213.1.001 4720	
7590 01/25/2006			EXAMINER	
KENNETH WATOV, ESQ. WATOV & KIPNES, P.C.			WILLATT, STEPHANIE L	
P.O. BOX 247			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PRINCETON JUNCTION, NY 08550			3732	

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/658,643	BACHRACH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephanie L. Willatt	3732
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.12 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N, nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•	
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathbb R$	Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the 	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •	
application from the International Bureau	·	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ''	ed.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	•
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,,

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Lines 10-12 of claim 1 recite that the apertures are configured to provide a scraping action against entrapped hairs. However, the apertures are not providing the scraping action, the edges of the comb teeth perform this function. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4-12, 14-16, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mani (US 1,853,828).

Mani discloses a comb guide that includes first and second movable blade members (comb bodies 9, 10). The first blade member (comb body 9) includes a plurality of first saw teeth/protrusions (15, 15a). The second blade (comb body 10) includes a plurality of second saw teeth/protrusions (15, 15a). There is a means (handles 5, 6) for movably retaining the first and second blade member (comb bodies 9, 10) parallel and adjacent to one another with their respective first and second teeth (15, 15a).

Art Unit: 3732

15a) in opposition and parallel planes along their respective longitudinal axes (Figure 3). The blade members (comb bodies 9, 10) can be selectively moved toward one another to cause their teeth to closely overlap with hairs captively held in capture regions formed therebetween (page 1, lines 63-78). The first and second teeth (15, 15a) are *configured* to provide via combing action a scraping action against the entrapped hairs in such a way that lice and nits are removed. The first and second blade members (comb bodies 9, 10) are made from a single piece of material (Figure 2).

The apexes of the first saw teeth (15, 15a) are arranged with opposing apexes of the second saw teeth (15, 15a) in offset planes from one another. The capture regions are diamond shaped, as shown in Figure 2. The movably retaining means further comprises a first elongate element extending away from the first blade member (comb body 9). A second elongate element extends away from the second blade member (comb body 10). The first and second blade members (comb bodies 9, 10) are oriented at an angle of 90 degrees to the first and second elongate elements.

Note: Claims 1, 2, 4, 15 and 20 have been interpreted to invoke 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3732

5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mani (US 1,853,828) in view of Avelia (US 3,606,323).

Mani discloses the features discussed above, but does not disclose the material of the blade members. Avelia teaches the use of plastic for making combs. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the blade members of Mani out of plastic, as taught by Avelia, since it plastic is durable and low in cost.

6. Claims 3 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mani (US 1,853,828) in view of Chiavaras et al. (US 5,232,000).

Mani discloses the features discussed above, but does not disclose that the pivot is located at an intermediate point on either of the elongate members. Chiavaras et al. teaches the placement of a pivot at an intermediate point of two elongate members (beams 19, 21). This placement of the pivot allows the user to have better control than the placement of the pivot in Mani. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the invention of Mani with the pivot intermediate the elongate members, as taught by Chiavaras et al., in order to provide the user with better control of opening and closing the blade members.

Note: Claims 3 and 17 do not invoke 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph because these claims recite that the means has a certain structure.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,643 Page 5

Art Unit: 3732

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Barr, Chiavaras et al. ('997), Kashian, Horvath, and Borow disclose bent scissors. Mattson, Fedtov, and Anderson disclose bent, scissor-shaped clamps. Goldner and Phillips disclose scissors with combs attached to the blades. Johnson discloses intermeshing combs. Saferstein et al. and Thorne disclose combs that are used to remove lice and nits from hair.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephanie L. Willatt whose telephone number is (571) 272-4721. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

slw

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700